



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/253,153	02/19/99	SCHWABACHER		A	
			\neg	EXAMINER	
KAROLINE K	M CLATE	HM12/0423		GARCIA	Ivi
		EXCHANGE PLACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
53 STATE S BOSTON MA				1.627 DATE MAILED:	14
					04/23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/253,153

Schwabacher

Examiner

Art Unit

		Maurie E. Garcia, Ph. D.	1627
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet with the corre	spondence address
There rejecti	REPLY FILED <u>Apr 9, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE efore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely fivence; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); oliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	e abandonment of this applicat iled amendment which places the or (3) a timely filed Request for	ion. A proper reply to a final ne application in condition for
		PLY [check only a) or b)]	
a) b)	The period for reply expires		(f)), the period for reply
	expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR con is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reprejection.	tinues to run from the mailing date ly expire later than SIX MONTHS from	of the final rejection, whichever the mailing date of the final
ext app set	extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or otherwise the late of the date for purposes of determining the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. A cailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce a	period of extension and the correspond e expiration date of the shortened statu tny reply received by the Office later that	ling amount of the fee. The Itory period for reply originally an three months after the
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on	Appellant's Brief must be filed 91(d)), to avoid dismissal of the	d within the period set forth in appeal.
2. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the ti requisite fees.	mely submission of a Notice of	Appeal and Appeal Brief with
З. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because	se:	
	X they raise new issues that would require further cons	•	NOTE below);
) $oxtimes$ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below		,
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in bette issues for appeal, and/or	r form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying the
(d)) \square they present additional claims without cancelling a co	orresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.
	NOTE: The added limitations appear to be new matter.	Applicants have not pointed to	support. In accordance with
	MPEP § 714.02, applicants should specifically p	point out support for any amend	lments, (see below)
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
5. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-all	owable claim(s).	_ would be allowable if submitted in
6. 🗆	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for recapplication in condition for allowance because:	consideration has been consider	red but does NOT place the
7. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because the Examiner in the final rejection.	it is not directed SOLELY to iss	ues which were newly raised by
8. 🛭	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as	follows (see attached written ex	planation, if any):
	Claim(s) allowed:		
	Claim(s) objected to:		
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 37-46</u>		
9. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on		not been approved by the Examiner.
			- Lew sat
11. 🔯	Other: The added limitations also would require a new set Thus, the arguments are moot in view of the non-e the rejections are maintained for reasons of recor	ntry of the amendment and the	TRYNA VENKAT PH.D. PRY PATENT EXAMINER LOGY CENTER 1600